

Sensitivity of life insurance reserves via Markov semigroups

Matthias Fahrenwaldt

Competence Centre for Risk and Insurance,
(University of Göttingen, Medical University of Hannover
and University of Hannover),
Königsworther Platz 1, D-30167 Hannover
and
EBZ Business School,
Springorumallee 20, D-44795 Bochum
m.fahrenwaldt@ebz-bs.de

16 May 2013

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 The Thiele PDE
- 3 Semigroups
- 4 Thiele as an abstract evolution equation
- 5 Main results
- 6 Sketch of the proofs
- 7 Next steps
- 8 Bibliography

Why sensitivities?

- Risk management means managing the available capital (CFO and CRO responsibility)
- Regulatory capital requirements (e.g., standard model in Solvency II) are based on parameter scenarios
- Thus, sensitivities of insurance reserves with respect to the valuation basis are of particular interest
- Understanding the sensitivities is key to premium calculation, reserving and ultimately the survival of the insurer

Thiele's differential equation I

- The reserve is usually defined as the discounted expected benefit payments less than the discounted expected premium payments (equivalence principle)
- In 1875 Thiele devised a differential equation (and difference equation) for the evolution of the reserve

$$\frac{d}{dt}V_t = \pi_t - b_t\mu_{x+t} + (r + \mu_{x+t})V_t.$$

- Unification of the time discrete and continuous case in a stochastic integral equation [MS97]

Thiele's differential equation II

- Combination with developments in financial mathematics (Black-Scholes, term-structure models, ...) leads to generalized Thiele equations, cf. [Nor91] or [Ste06]
- These generalizations model modern life insurance products whose benefits explicitly depend on capital markets
- In product design and capital requirements current attention shifts towards worst-case analyses with respect to the valuation basis
- Examples include [Chr11b], [Chr11a], [Chr10] and [CS11]

Our model life insurance contract

- We consider a multi-state life insurance policy with distribution of a surplus as in [Ste07]
- The surplus can be invested in a risk-free asset and a risky asset, the latter being modelled by an Itô process
- The reserve satisfies a system of partial differential equations (PDEs)
- Objective: solve the PDEs by semigroup techniques and then assess sensitivities

The aim is to investigate the Thiele PDE using linear operators

- Basic idea is to express economic forces by linear operators
- Motivation: quantum mechanics and operator algebras
- Key results
 - ▶ Uniform continuity of the reserve with respect to financial, mortality and payment assumptions
 - ▶ Pointwise bounds on the gradient of the reserve as a function of the surplus
 - ▶ Factorization of the reserve into risk types (financial, insurance, payment)
- Basis for treatment of polynomial processes (including Lévy and affine processes)

Selected other approaches to sensitivities

Key ideas from the literature

- Valuation basis depends on a single parameter θ . Differentiate Thiele's equation with respect to θ and solve ensuing PDE. Cf. [KN03]
- Valuation basis lives in a Hilbert space. Consider the reserve as a functional of the valuation basis and apply Fréchet derivative with respect to valuation basis. Cf. [Chr08]

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 The Thiele PDE**
- 3 Semigroups
- 4 Thiele as an abstract evolution equation
- 5 Main results
- 6 Sketch of the proofs
- 7 Next steps
- 8 Bibliography

Start with the reserve as a conditional expectation

Consider a life insurance policy with benefit payments that depend on a surplus. The surplus can be invested in a risk-free and a risky asset. All processes are (jointly) Markov:

- Z_t : process with values in $\{1, \dots, n\}$, state of the insured person
- X_t : process for the value of the surplus (SDE)
- B_t : process for benefit payments
- D_t : process for dividend payments from the surplus

Define the *market reserve* V^j of the contract in state j as

$$V^j(t, x) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T e^{(s-t)r} d(B + D)(s) \mid Z(t) = j, X(t) = x \right],$$

with the policy terminating at time T

The reserve satisfies a PDE system I

The reserve vector $\mathbf{V} = (V^1, \dots, V^n)^\top$ satisfies

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_t V^j(t, x) + \mathcal{D}^j(t) V^j(t, x) + \beta^j(t, x) - rV^j(t, x), \\ 0 &= V^j(T, x), \end{aligned} \right\}$$

on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^j(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \pi(t, x)^2 \sigma^2 x^2 \partial_x^2 + (rx + c^j(t) - \delta^j(t, x)) \partial_x \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \neq j} \mu^{jk}(t) (V^k(t, x + c^{jk}(t) - \delta^{jk}(t, x)) - V^j(t, x)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\beta^j(t, x) = b^j(t) + \delta^j(t, x) + \sum_{k \neq j} \mu^{jk}(t) (b^{jk}(t) + \delta^{jk}(t, x)).$$

For the derivation of these equations see [Ste06, Ste07]

The reserve satisfies a PDE system II

Meaning of the variables and coefficients

t = time

x = value of the surplus

T = maturity of the contract

$V^j(t, x)$ = reserve in state j

r = constant risk-free interest rate

$\pi(t, x)$ = surplus share invested in the risky asset

σ = diffusion coefficient for the risky asset

$b^{jk}(t), b^j(t)$ = benefit payments

$\mu^{jk}(t)$ = transition intensities

$\delta^j(t, x), \delta^{jk}(t, x)$ = dividends from the surplus

$c^j(t), c^{jk}(t)$ = contributions to the surplus

The reserve satisfies a PDE system III

Hypothesis

- (i) *Coefficients of the differential operators*
 - (a) *there is a $\pi_0 > 0$ with $\pi(x) \geq \pi_0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$,*
 - (b) *π, c^j, δ^j are in $C_{loc}^{\alpha/2, \alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ for a $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,*
 - (c) *the function π is bounded and $c^j \geq 0$.*
- (ii) *Regularity of payments, dividends and intensities*
 - (a) *b^j and μ^{jk} belong to $C([0, T])$,*
 - (b) *δ^{jk} belongs to $C^{0, \alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ for all j, k .*
- (iii) *Boundedness of dividend payments*
 - (a) *there is a constant $k > 0$ with $0 \leq \delta^j(t, x) \leq kx$,*
 - (b) *the term $\delta^j(t, x) \frac{-\log x}{x(1+\log^2 x)}$ is bounded for $x \rightarrow 0$,*
 - (c) *for all x, t we have $x + c^{jk}(t) - \delta^{jk}(t, x) \geq 0$.*

Relaxation of assumptions I

Coefficients of the differential operators

- (a) there is a $\pi_0 > 0$ with $\pi(x) \geq \pi_0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$,
 - (b) π, c^j, δ^j are in $C_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha/2, \alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ for a $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,
 - (c) the function π is bounded and $c^j \geq 0$.
- Allow for $\pi(x) \geq 0$ i.e., surrender uniform ellipticity, the PDE is degenerate
 - The analysis is done by regularizing the equation i.e., one considers $\mathcal{A}^j + \epsilon \Delta$ for $\epsilon > 0$ and the Laplace operator Δ
 - This leads to a solution \mathbf{V}_ϵ . Now consider $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and show weak convergence e.g., in L^2

Relaxation of assumptions II

Regularity of payments, dividends and intensities

- (a) b^j and μ^{jk} belong to $C([0, T])$,
 - (b) δ^{jk} belongs to $C^{0,\alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ for all j, k .
- Allow for measurable coefficients
 - Leads to solution in L^∞ or L^p -spaces

Relaxation of assumptions III

Boundedness of dividend payments

(a) there is a constant $k > 0$ with $0 \leq \delta^j(t, x) \leq kx$,

(b) the term $\delta^j(t, x) \frac{-\log x}{x(1+\log^2 x)}$ is bounded for $x \rightarrow 0$,

(c) for all x, t we have $x + c^{jk}(t) - \delta^{jk}(t, x) \geq 0$.

- Crucial in our framework
- However, no practical limitations as the important case of δ^* linear in x is covered

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 The Thiele PDE
- 3 Semigroups**
- 4 Thiele as an abstract evolution equation
- 5 Main results
- 6 Sketch of the proofs
- 7 Next steps
- 8 Bibliography

Solution semigroups of PDEs I

The basic example is the heat equation on \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u(t, x) &= \Delta u(t, x), \\ u(0, x) &= g(x).\end{aligned}$$

View this as an abstract evolution equation in $C^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by regarding $u(t, \cdot)$ as an element of $C([0, T]; C^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Then rewrite the PDE as

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u(t) &= \Delta u(t), \\ u(0) &= g,\end{aligned}$$

a first-order ordinary differential equation in t . Formally solve this as

$$u(t) = e^{t\Delta} u(0).$$

Does this make sense?

Properties of semigroups

Operator families of the type e^{tA} acting on a Banach space X , should have the following properties:

- (i) $e^{tA}e^{sA} = e^{(t+s)A}$ for $t, s > 0$ (semigroup property)
- (ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} e^{tA}x = x$ for all $x \in X$ (strong continuity)
- (iii) $\partial_t e^{tA} = Ae^{tA} = e^{tA}A$ (solution of the PDE)

In our case we will not have strong continuity, still $e^{0A} = id$.

See also [Ama95], [Paz83], [EN00], [Lun95] for the construction of semigroups and their application to PDEs

The generator of a semigroup

Let $T(t)$ be a strongly continuous semigroup acting on a Banach space X . Define

$$D(A) = \left\{ f \in X : \frac{T(t)f - f}{t} \text{ converges in norm for } t \rightarrow 0_+ \right\}$$

and set

$$A(f) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0_+} \frac{T(t)f - f}{t} \text{ for } f \in D(A).$$

We call A the (*infinitesimal*) *generator* of the semigroup and $D(A)$ the *domain* of A . $D(A)$ is a linear subspace of X and A is a linear map $D(A) \rightarrow X$. Usually, $D(A)$ is very hard to identify precisely

Construction of semigroups

There are several ways to construct operators e^{tA} :

- as the solution to $\partial_t u = Au$
- as a Taylor series in case $A : X \rightarrow X$ is bounded

$$e^{tA} = I + tA + \frac{1}{2}t^2A^2 + \dots$$

- by functional calculus on a Banach algebra
- by a Cauchy integral, if the resolvent $(\lambda - A)^{-1}$ is bounded

$$e^{tA} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t} (\lambda - A)^{-1} d\lambda.$$

- by the theorems of Hille-Yosida, Ray, Phillipps, etc.

Relationship with stochastic processes

Morally: let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a stochastic process with state space \mathbb{R}^n . Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The semigroup $T(t)$ for X_t acts on functions $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$[T(t)u](x) = \mathbb{E}^x(u(X_t)).$$

The generator A is given by

$$Au = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(t)u - u}{t}.$$

In case of a Brownian motion with drift A has the form

$$A = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_i b_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

Generalization to evolution families

So far we had time-independent (autonomous) generators. Now generalization to time-dependence which leads to *evolution families*. A family of linear operators $\{G(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is called *evolution family* if

- (i) $G(t, s)G(s, r) = G(t, r)$ for $0 \leq r \leq s \leq t \leq T$ and $G(s, s) = id$
- (ii) $G(t, s)$ maps X to D with D the domain of $A(t)$, where we assume that all $A(t)$ have the same domain
- (iii) The map $t \mapsto G(t, s)$ is differentiable on $(s, T]$ with values in $\mathcal{B}(X)$ and for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ we have $\partial_t G(t, s) = A(t)G(t, s) = G(t, s)A(t)$.

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 The Thiele PDE
- 3 Semigroups
- 4 Thiele as an abstract evolution equation**
- 5 Main results
- 6 Sketch of the proofs
- 7 Next steps
- 8 Bibliography

Consider Thiele as an abstract evolution equation I

Step 1: define a time-dependent linear operator $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}(t)$ acting on $C_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sum_{k \neq 1} \mu^{1k} \mathbf{1} & \mu^{12} T^{12} & \mu^{13} T^{13} & \dots & \mu^{1n} T^{1n} \\ \mu^{21} T^{21} & -\sum_{k \neq 2} \mu^{2k} \mathbf{1} & \mu^{23} T^{23} & \dots & \mu^{2n} T^{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu^{n1} T^{n2} & \mu^{n2} T^{n2} & \mu^{n3} T^{n3} & \dots & -\sum_{k \neq n} \mu^{nk} \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Here, $\mu^{jk} = \mu^{jk}(t)$ and the $T^{jk} = T^{jk}(t)$ are linear operators:

$$(T^{ik} f)(t, x) = f(t, x + c^{jk}(t) - \delta^{jk}(t, x))$$

Morally: insurance risk expressed by \mathbf{T}

Consider Thiele as an abstract evolution equation II

Step 2: spacetime transformation $\tau = T - t$ and $y = \log x$. Define

$$\mathcal{A}^j = \frac{1}{2}\pi^2\sigma^2\partial_y^2 + (r + (c^j - \delta^j)e^{-y} - \frac{1}{2}\pi\sigma^2)\partial_y.$$

With the diagonal operator $\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mathcal{A}^n \end{pmatrix}$ the reserve vector satisfies

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \partial_\tau \mathbf{V} &= \mathcal{A}(\tau)\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{TV} - r\mathbf{V} + e^{r\tau}\beta \\ \mathbf{V}(0) &= 0, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

an abstract initial value problem on a suitable Banach space

Formulation as an integral equation with semigroups

- Let \mathbf{G} be the evolution family generated by \mathcal{A} i.e., a family of linear operators $\mathbf{G}(\tau, s)$ on a suitable space such that

$$\mathbf{G}(\tau, s)\mathbf{G}(s, \rho) = \mathbf{G}(\tau, \rho)$$

for $\rho \leq s \leq \tau$ and $\mathbf{G}(\tau, \tau) = id$

- The existence of \mathbf{G} is non-trivial as \mathcal{A} has exponentially growing first-order coefficients
- \mathbf{V} is a *mild solution* of (1) if the Duhamel formula is satisfied

$$\mathbf{V}(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \mathbf{G}(\tau, s) [\mathbf{T}(s)\mathbf{V}(s) + e^{-r(\tau-s)}\beta(s)] ds \quad (2)$$

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 The Thiele PDE
- 3 Semigroups
- 4 Thiele as an abstract evolution equation
- 5 Main results**
- 6 Sketch of the proofs
- 7 Next steps
- 8 Bibliography

The PDE has a unique mild solution I

Theorem

Assume the coefficients of \mathcal{A} are in $C^{\alpha/2, 1+\alpha}$ for a $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then there is a unique mild solution \mathbf{V} in the space $C^{0, \alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$.

The Duhamel decomposition (2) of \mathbf{V} shows the factorization of the integrand into operators:

- (i) market risks from the investment in the risky asset as represented by \mathbf{G} ,
- (ii) the effect of net payments represented by the multiplication operator β , and
- (iii) insurance risk represented by \mathbf{T}

The PDE has a unique mild solution II

Express the solution explicitly in terms of a Neumann series (Dyson series in physics, Peano series in matrix analysis). Let

$f(\tau) = \int_0^\tau e^{rs} \beta(s) ds$, then

$$\mathbf{V} = e^{-r\tau} (f + \mathbf{GT}\#f + \mathbf{GT}\#\mathbf{GT}\#f + \dots) \quad (3)$$

under the operation

$$(\mathbf{GT}\#\xi)(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \mathbf{G}(\tau, s) \mathbf{T}(s) \xi(s) ds.$$

The series converges in $C^{0,\alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$. This leads to a conceptual explanation how the reserve depends on payments. The series is also an asymptotic expansion in τ and can be used to approximate \mathbf{V}

Continuous dependence of the reserve on the data

Theorem

Let $Y_1 = C_b(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$, $Y_2 = C_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$,
 $\varphi(\tau) = \int_0^\tau e^{-r(\tau-s)} ds$, and $\hat{T} = \sup_\tau \|\mathbf{T}(\tau)\|$. Then

- (i) *growth*: $\|\mathbf{V}(\tau)\|_{Y_1} \leq \|\beta\|_{Y_2} \left(\hat{T} e^{c\hat{T}\tau} \int_0^\tau \varphi(s) ds + \varphi(\tau) \right)$
- (ii) *dependence on payments*:

$$\|\mathbf{V}_1(\tau) - \mathbf{V}_2(\tau)\|_{Y_1} \leq \|\beta_1 - \beta_2\|_{Y_2} \left(\hat{T} e^{\hat{T}\tau} \int_0^\tau \varphi(s) ds + \varphi(\tau) \right)$$

- (iii) *dependence on insurance risk*:

$$\|\mathbf{V}_1(\tau) - \mathbf{V}_2(\tau)\|_{Y_1} \leq \|\beta\|_{Y_2} C(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2; \tau) \sup_\tau \|\mathbf{T}_1(\tau) - \mathbf{T}_2(\tau)\|,$$

$$\text{with } C(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2; \tau) = \hat{T}_1 e^{\hat{T}_1 \tau} \int_0^\tau \int_0^s \varphi(u) du ds + e^{\hat{T}_2 \tau} \int_0^\tau \varphi(s) ds.$$

One recovers the conditional expectation almost explicitly

- Recall the stochastic representation

$$\mathbf{V}^{Z(t)}(t, X(t)) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\int_t^T e^{-r(s-t)} d(B + D)(s) \middle| Z(t), X(t) \right]$$

- Now special case where the surplus is unchanged in transitions between states i.e., $c^{jk}(t) - \delta^{jk}(t, x) \equiv 0$
- Then (2) becomes

$$\mathbf{V}(\tau, y) = \int_0^{\tau} e^{-r(\tau-s)} [\mathbf{G}(\tau, s) \exp \mathbf{M}(s) \beta(s)](y) ds.$$

Here $\mathbf{M}(s) = \int_0^s \mathbf{T}(s') ds'$ by componentwise integration

- The product of commuting operators $\mathbf{G}(\tau, s) \exp \mathbf{M}(s)$ corresponds to the product measure \mathbb{Q}

Pointwise sensitivities in the special case

Define $\beta'(s, y) = e^{rs} \beta(s) \exp \mathbf{M}(s)$.

Theorem

Choose $p > 1$ and let $W^j(\tau, y)$ be a solution of the PDE

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau W^j &= \mathcal{A}^j(\tau) W^j - (r - \sigma_p) W^j + |T^{1-1/p} \partial_y \beta^{ij}(\tau, \cdot)|^p \\ W^j(0) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where σ_p is a constant depending on \mathcal{A}^j . The the gradient of the reserve is bounded pointwise

$$|\partial_y V^j(\tau, y)|^p \leq W^j(\tau, y)$$

for $(\tau, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 The Thiele PDE
- 3 Semigroups
- 4 Thiele as an abstract evolution equation
- 5 Main results
- 6 Sketch of the proofs**
- 7 Next steps
- 8 Bibliography

Existence via operator algebra I

Proposition

Let $\theta \in [0, 1]$. Then \mathbf{T} is a bounded linear operator mapping $C^{0,\theta}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ to itself.

Moreover there exists an evolution family \mathbf{G} which is smoothing

Existence via operator algebra II

Proposition ([Lor11])

Each operator \mathcal{A}^j generates an evolution system $G^j(\tau, s)$ of bounded linear operators such that for every $0 \leq \alpha \leq \gamma \leq 1$ there is a constant c with

$$\|G^j(\tau, s)f\|_{C_b^\gamma(\mathbb{R})} \leq c(\tau - s)^{-(\gamma-\alpha)/2} \|f\|_{C_b^\alpha(\mathbb{R})}$$

for $f \in C_b^\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ and every $s \leq \tau \leq T$

Existence via operator algebra III

Idea for showing existence and uniqueness of solutions:

- (i) a-priori estimates via Gronwall's inequality
- (ii) Explicit construction of a solution through a Neumann series, it converges by the a-priori estimates
- (iii) Uniqueness of the solution again by Gronwall

Existence via operator algebra IV

Lemma (Gronwall's inequality)

Suppose that for a non-negative absolutely continuous function η on $[0, T]$

$$\eta'(t) \leq \phi(t)\eta(t) + \psi(t).$$

Then

$$\eta(t) \leq e^{\int_0^t \phi(s) ds} \left[\eta(0) + \int_0^t \psi(s) ds \right].$$

Proof: A calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \left(\eta(s) e^{-\int_0^s \phi(r) dr} \right) &= e^{-\int_0^s \phi(r) dr} (\eta'(s) - \phi(s)\eta(s)) \\ &\leq e^{\int_0^s \phi(r) dr} \psi(s), \end{aligned}$$

whence the assertion.

Existence via operator algebra V

Let $Y_1 = C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Y_2 = C^{0,\alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$. Uniform estimates yield

$$\|\mathbf{V}(\tau)\|_{Y_1} \leq c \hat{T} \int_0^\tau \|\mathbf{V}(s)\|_{Y_1} + c \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\tau),$$

with $\hat{T} = \sup_s \|T(s)\|$, the supremum of the operator norms of T and $\varphi(\tau) = \int_0^\tau e^{-r(\tau-s)} ds$. The constant c comes from Proposition 6. Gronwall now implies

$$\|\mathbf{V}(\tau)\|_{Y_1} \leq c \|\beta\|_{Y_2} \left(c \hat{T} e^{c \hat{T} \tau} \int_0^\tau \varphi(s) ds + \varphi(\tau) \right). \quad (4)$$

Gives a-priori estimates: uniform norm of the reserve is bounded by global constants

Existence via operator algebra VI

Existence: General approach to solving *Volterra equations* of the form

$$u(\tau) = f(\tau) + \int_0^\tau T(s)u(s)ds,$$

cf. [Kre99]: set $Au = \int_0^\tau T(s)u(s)ds$ and write

$$u = Au + f \quad \text{or} \quad (I - A)u = f.$$

The idea is then to invert the operator $I - A$ as

$$(I - A)^{-1} = 1 + A + A^2 + \dots .$$

Application of this Neumann series in spectral theory of Banach algebras, PDEs, etc.

Existence via operator algebra VII

Use this to find a solution for small values of T in a Neumann series with $f(\tau) = \int_0^\tau e^{r s} \beta(s) ds$ as

$$\mathbf{V} = e^{-r\tau} (f + \mathbf{GT}\#f + \mathbf{GT}\#\mathbf{GT}\#f + \dots).$$

under the operation

$$(\mathbf{GT}\#\xi)(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \mathbf{G}(\tau, s)\mathbf{T}(s)\xi(s)ds.$$

Now iterate on the time axis with new initial conditions. This works because the a-priori estimates (4) only depend on global constants (and not on the time T)

Existence via operator algebra VIII

Uniqueness: Let \mathbf{V}_1 and \mathbf{V}_2 be two solutions of the PDE. Then consider $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}_1 - \mathbf{V}_2$, which satisfies a linear homogeneous integral equation with initial condition $\mathbf{U}(0) = \mathbf{V}_1(0) - \mathbf{V}_2(0) = 0$:

$$\mathbf{U}(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \mathbf{G}(\tau, s) \mathbf{T}(s) \mathbf{U}(s) ds.$$

Gronwall then shows that $\mathbf{U}(\tau) = 0$ for all τ

Proof of the sensitivities I

This also follows from operator estimates

Uniform estimates: Illustration for the dependence on \mathbf{T} : consider the PDEs for \mathbf{V}_1 and \mathbf{V}_2 for two values of the operator \mathbf{T}_1 and \mathbf{T}_2 .

By linearity

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{V}_1(\tau) - \mathbf{V}_2(\tau) &= \int_0^\tau \mathbf{G}(\tau, s) (\mathbf{T}_1(s)\mathbf{V}_1(s) - \mathbf{T}_2(s)\mathbf{V}_2(s)) ds \\ &= \int_0^\tau \mathbf{G}(\tau, s)\mathbf{T}_2(s) (\mathbf{V}_1(s) - \mathbf{V}_2(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^\tau \mathbf{G}(\tau, s) (\mathbf{T}_1(s) - \mathbf{T}_2(s)) \mathbf{V}_1(s) ds.\end{aligned}$$

Then apply Gronwall twice to obtain the result.

Proof of the sensitivities II

Pointwise estimates: The basis is the

Theorem ([KLL10])

For every $p > 1$ we have for all $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R})$ that

$$|(\partial_x G^j(\tau, s)f)(x)|^p \leq e^{c(\tau-s)} (G^j(\tau, s)|\partial_x f|^p)(x) \quad (5)$$

with $s \leq \tau$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Here c is a constant depending on p and \mathcal{A}

Proof of the sensitivities III

Application to

$$\mathbf{V}(\tau, y) = \int_0^\tau e^{-r(\tau-s)} [\mathbf{G}(\tau, s) \exp \mathbf{M}(s) \beta(s)](y) ds$$

leads to an integral equation whose upper bound (5) can be translated to the PDE

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau W^j &= \mathcal{A}^j(\tau) W^j - (r - c) W^j + |T^{1-1/p} \partial_y \beta^{Tj}(\tau, \cdot)|^p \\ W^j(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is possible as \mathbf{V} is a classical solution i.e., belongs to $C^{1,2}$

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 The Thiele PDE
- 3 Semigroups
- 4 Thiele as an abstract evolution equation
- 5 Main results
- 6 Sketch of the proofs
- 7 Next steps**
- 8 Bibliography

Potential next steps I

Polynomial processes

- Model the risky asset by polynomial processes e.g., a Lévy process
- The operator approach can be applied formally. The operators \mathcal{A}^i become pseudo-differential operators which are defined by Fourier analysis (a is the symbol of the process)

$$\mathcal{A}u(x) = \int \int e^{i\xi(x-y)} a(x, y, \xi) u(y) dy d\xi,$$

precise structure of a from Lévy-Khinchin

- Increased technical requirements and solution living in Sobolev spaces or C^∞

Potential next steps II

Heat kernel methods

- Short-time asymptotic expansion of the reserve in τ around maturity T
- Basis is an asymptotic expansion of the Schwartz kernel of $\mathbf{G} \sim \mathbf{G}_0 + (\tau - s)\mathbf{G}_1 + \dots$, the so-called heat kernel. This is standard in differential geometry (Atiyah-Singer index theorem), quantum gravity, financial maths, ...
- Looks like

$$\mathbf{V}(\tau) \sim \int_0^\tau e^{-(\tau-s)r} \mathbf{G}_0(\tau, s) \beta(s) ds + \dots$$

Potential next steps III

Liquidity risk

- Incorporate liquidity risk in the behaviour of the risky asset
- Leads to diffusion-degenerate nonlinear Thiele equation with error term quadratic in the spatial gradient of the reserve
- Solution given as weak solution in an L^2 -space

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 The Thiele PDE
- 3 Semigroups
- 4 Thiele as an abstract evolution equation
- 5 Main results
- 6 Sketch of the proofs
- 7 Next steps
- 8 Bibliography**

Bibliography I

- [Ama95] H. Amann. *Linear and Quasilinear Parabolic Problems, Vol. I: Abstract Linear Theory*. Birkhäuser, 1995.
- [Chr08] M.C. Christiansen. A sensitivity analysis concept for life insurance with respect to a valuation basis of infinite dimension. *Insurance Math. Econom.*, 42(2):680–690, 2008.
- [Chr10] M. Christiansen. Biometric worst-case scenarios for multi-state life insurance policies. *Insurance Math. Econom.*, 47(2):190–197, 2010.
- [Chr11a] M. Christiansen. Making use of netting effects when composing life insurance contracts. *European Actuarial Journal*, pages 1–14, 2011.

Bibliography II

- [Chr11b] M. Christiansen. Safety margins for unsystematic biometric risk in life and health insurance. *Scand. Actuarial J.*, (to appear), 2011.
- [CS11] M. Christiansen and M. Steffensen. Safe-side scenarios for financial and biometrical risk. *SSRN Preprint 1738552*, 2011.
- [EN00] K.J. Engel and R. Nagel. *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*. Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [KLL10] M. Kunze, L. Lorenzi, and A. Lunardi. Nonautonomous Kolmogorov parabolic equations with unbounded coefficients. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(1):169–198, 2010.

Bibliography III

- [KN03] V. Kalashnikov and R. Norberg. On the sensitivity of premiums and reserves to changes in valuation elements. *Scand. Actuar. J.*, 103(3):238–256, 2003.
- [Kre99] R. Kress. *Linear Integral Equations*. Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [Lor11] L. Lorenzi. Optimal Hölder regularity for nonautonomous Kolmogorov equations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S*, 4(1):169–191, 2011.
- [Lun95] A. Lunardi. *Analytic Semigroups and Optimal Regularity in Parabolic Problems*. Birkhäuser, 1995.
- [MS97] H. Milbrodt and A. Stracke. Markov models and Thiele's integral equations for the prospective reserve. *Insurance Math. Econom.*, 19(3):187–235, 1997.
- [Nor91] R. Norberg. Reserves in life and pension insurance. *Scand. Actuar. J.*, 1:3–24, 1991.

Bibliography IV

- [Paz83] A. Pazy. *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*. Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [Ste06] M. Steffensen. Surplus-linked life insurance. *Scand. Actuar. J.*, 2006(1):1–22, 2006.
- [Ste07] M. Steffensen. Differential Systems in Finance and Life Insurance. In P. Tapio and B.S. Jensen, editors, *Stochastic Economic Dynamics*, pages 317–360. Copenhagen Business School Press, 2007.